

Table 1. Reasons for Reversals by Courts of Second Instance

Classification Year	Number of the accused (A)	Number of reversals (B)	Due to inappropriate sentencing					Due to errors in fact finding					Due to errors in application of law					Others		
			Total (C)	Own judgment	Remanded or trans- ferred	% (C/B)	% (C/A)	Total (D)	Own judgment	Remanded or trans- ferred	% (D/B)	% (D/A)	Total (E)	Own judgment	Remanded or trans- ferred	% (E/B)	% (E/A)	Total number (F)	% (F/B)	% (F/A)
2008	7,962	1,031	202	202	-	19.6	2.5	136	125	11	13.2	1.7	73	63	10	7.1	0.9	645	62.6	8.1
2009	7,258	824	198	198	-	24.0	2.7	96	94	2	11.7	1.3	68	59	9	8.3	0.9	483	58.6	6.7
2010	6,856	750	163	163	-	21.7	2.4	85	82	3	11.3	1.2	52	46	6	6.9	0.8	466	62.1	6.8
2011	7,005	685	104	104	-	15.2	1.5	80	77	3	11.7	1.1	50	47	3	7.3	0.7	464	67.7	6.6
2012	6,619	580	106	106	-	18.3	1.6	73	66	7	12.6	1.1	40	37	3	6.9	0.6	374	64.5	5.7